



SIX COUNTY

ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

CDBG Annual Action Plan

For July 1 2020 - June 30 2021

Prepared by: Zach Leavitt, CDBG program manger

Address: 250 N. Main St. P.O. Box 820, Richfield, UT. 84701

Phone: 435.893.0737

Fax: 435.893.0701

Email: zleavitt@sixcounty.com

Contents

Executive Summary..... 3

Outreach 4

 Citizen Participation 5

Expected Resources 7

Goals & Objectives 8

Allocation priorities..... 9

Public Housing..... 12

Barriers to Affordable Housing 12

Other 13

 Home Management 13

 Lead Based Paint 13

 Coordination 13

Appendix I Consultation Form..... 14

Appendix II Citizen Participation Survey 15

Appendix III CDBG Rating and Ranking Criteria for SCAOG Region 16

Appendix IV PY 2020 CDBG Application Scoring & Funded Projects 18

Appendix V Citizen Consultation Forms..... 19

Appendix VI Agency consultation Form 20

Appendix VII CDBG Application Policies and Procedures 21

Appendix IIX Online Post for Citizen Outreach 24

Executive Summary

This plan, prepared by the Six County Association of Governments (SCAOG) Office of Economic and Community Development, is meant to provide a regional overview of housing and community development priorities with descriptions of resources available to achieve these priorities for program year 2021 (July 1, 2020 - June 30, 2021).

SCAOG is the lead agency in charge of the Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) for Central Utah. The region covers Juab, Millard, Piute, Sanpete, Sevier, and Wayne Counties in the State of Utah and 49 communities within these counties.

The objectives of this plan are to:

- Provide a forum of collaboration between community leaders, service providers, and citizens;
- Create a set of one-year goals for Six County AOG to move forward with.
- Provide an annual extension/update of strategies to fit in with the Five-Year Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan.

The expected outcomes of this plan are to:

- Create a usable and relevant document for community leaders, service providers, and citizens to have a reference when considering local needs for the next year;
- Provide assistance to communities when applying for community and housing grant funding, particularly for the CDBG program;
- Implement projects and goals in order to better allocate CDBG money to the communities in terms of need;
- Aid planning and community assistance projects in allocating funding to individuals and communities in terms of need;
- Aid local leaders and the SCAOG in determining priorities for the future.

Personal interviews are conducted with the elected officials and staff of every jurisdiction on an annual basis. In the past this approach of community visits has allowed officials to speak openly about their concerns and set real goals and priorities for housing and community development.

Citizens and service providers are also invited to share their knowledge of needed infrastructure. This year an article about the planning process was published in several local papers of the region. The article discussed the importance of the plan and provided a link to a survey about local needs. A copy of this article and survey may be found in Appendix I and II.

This plan will be available for public comment from January 31 to March 5, 2019 and a public meeting will be held on March 5, 2019 to gather public input on this plan. Comments from this period will be included in this plan.

The objectives and outcomes identified in this plan are derived by input from local government, SCAOG agencies, and citizens. They are listed below.

- Provide safe and healthy affordable housing for low-income individuals, especially those who are disabled;
- Prevent homelessness by offering overnight shelter and rental assistance;
- Improve the quality of life for elderly and disabled individuals;

- Improve infrastructure of jurisdictions with citizens that primarily make a low-moderate income.

Outreach

SCAOG takes three approaches to involve organizations in the creation of the Annual Action Plan:

- Visit elected officials and staff in their own locale every January. Collect a list of Capital Improvements and other projects. This is during the planning process.
- Survey Service Providers about local needs during the planning process
- Meet with the SCAOG Housing and Community Action Programs (HCAP) department during the planning process.
- Seek input from the above mentioned organizations for comment and revisions during the public comment period.
- Hold a public hearing for the plan.

Table 1: Consultation of Agencies and Jurisdictions

Organization Consulted	Result of Consultation
Service Provider’s Council	Maintain knowledge of area needs; learn potential ways to solve these needs.
Department of Workforce services	Maintain knowledge of area needs; learn potential ways to solve these needs.
New Horizons Crisis Center	Maintain knowledge of area needs; learn potential ways to solve these needs.
Division of Child and Family Services	Maintain knowledge of area needs; learn potential ways to solve these needs.
Snow College Small Business Development Center	Maintain knowledge of area needs; learn potential ways to solve these needs.
Utah State University Extension	Maintain knowledge of area needs; learn potential ways to solve these needs.
Family Support Center of Southwestern Utah	Maintain knowledge of area needs; learn potential ways to solve these needs.
Central Utah Public Health Department	Maintain knowledge of area needs; learn potential ways to solve these needs.
Ephraim City Housing Authority (Not HUD recognized)	Maintain knowledge of area needs; learn potential ways to solve these needs.
Mt Pleasant Redevelopment Agency	Maintain knowledge of area needs; learn potential ways to solve these needs.
SCAOG Housing Department	Understand Housing Programs, and collected data and goals on rehabilitation Programs
SCAOG Elected Officials	Improves relationship with constituents, collect data and goals for the capital improvement list.

This plan was created by the coordination and cooperation of every jurisdiction (cities, counties, and towns) in the region. The communities were presented with their one year, and two to five year capital

improvement goals. The elected officials guided SCAOG in updating this plan and the Capital Improvement List. They provided community project goals, including funding, and grant or loan sources (especially CDBG and CIB).

Table 2: Jurisdictions of the SCAOG Region

Juab	Millard	Piute	Sanpete	Sevier	Wayne
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eureka • Levan • Mona • Nephi • Rocky Ridge 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delta • Fillmore • Hinckley • Holden • Kanosh • Leamington • Lynndyl • Meadow • Oak City • Scipio 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circleville • Junction • Kingston • Marysvale 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Centerfield • Ephraim • Fairview • Fayette • Fountain Green • Gunnison • Manti • Mayfield • Moroni • Mount Pleasant • Spring City • Sterling • Wales 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annabella • Aurora • Central Valley • Elsinore • Glenwood • Joseph • Koosharem • Monroe • Redmond • Richfield • Salina • Sigurd 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bicknell • Hanksville • Loa • Lyman • Torrey

Citizen Participation

Six County AOG takes three approaches to involve citizens in the creation of the Annual Action Plan:

- Publish feature article in the local papers about the Annual Action Plan. Invite public input in-person, online, e-mail, mail, or by telephone (See Appendix I)
- Provide online survey about community needs (See Appendix II)
- Discuss the Plan on the front page of the AOG website, www.sixcounty.com.
- Post public notice on the Utah Public Notice website to inform public that the plan is open to comment for a 30-day comment period. Invite service providers to participate.
- Hold public hearing for the plan after the comment period.

During the creation of the draft plan, SCAOG staff invited the local papers to publish a feature article about the Annual Action Plan process. Please see Appendix

The draft plan was open for public comment from January 31 to March 3, 2020. A notice was published on the Utah Public Notice website. The text of the notice is as follows:

*REGIONAL ANNUAL ACTION PLAN ON HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
30-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD AND PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE*

Six County Association of Governments announces that a Public Hearing will be held on March 4, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. in suite B-24 at the Sevier County Administration Building at 250 north main street, Richfield UT. The purpose of the Public Hearing is to obtain citizen comment on the housing and community development needs of the Six County region for development of the Annual Action Plan for submission to the State of Utah, Department of Workforce Services, Housing and Community Development Division. The 2020 Annual Action Plan addresses decent housing, a suitable living environment, and expanded economic opportunities for low- and moderate-income residents. This plan is required by the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development and must be approved prior to Six County AOG receiving their allocation of the State Small Cities Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program funding.

Information that will be provided at the public hearing includes a summary of the history of this program in the Six County region and the draft of the Annual Action Plan. (The plan is attached to this notice also) If you have any questions about this hearing, or desire to submit comments regarding the Annual Action Plan, please contact Zach Leavitt at 435-893-0737 or zleavitt@sixcounty.com or 250 N Main street Richfield, UT 84701.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this hearing should notify Zach Leavitt at 435-893-0737 or zleavitt@sixcounty.com or 250 N Main street Richfield, UT 84701 at least three days prior to the hearing. Individuals with speech and/or hearing impairments may call the Relay Utah by dialing 711. Spanish Relay Utah: 1.888.346.3162

Following the end of the comment period, the following table summarizes the identified needs and comments from citizens in the area.

Citizen Comments	Identified Needs	Number of Responses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More safety features on roads, particularly around high bike and pedestrian traffic areas. • Additional infrastructure for bike traffic, including connectors between communities. • Water resource development. • Additional recreation facilities. • Public transportation options. 	Low to Moderate income housing availability	13
	Water Infrastructure Improvements	7
	Sewer/Storm Infrastructure Improvements	3
	Public Health and Safety Projects	13
	Street/Sidewalk Improvements	10
	Recreation Facility Improvements	13
	Accessibility for Persons with a Disability	15
	Other Public Facilities	10
	Planning efforts (such as a comprehensive plan)	7
Other needs	0	

Expected Resources

Funding from state and federal sources is very specific in purpose. As it relates to the goals and objectives of the Community Development Block Grant program, the following provide services that benefit the low and moderate income as it relates to affordable housing, community development and other services.

Approximately \$750,000 in funds is annually allocated to the Six County region for the Small Cities Community Development Block Grant program. Administration of the grant program is allocated \$50,000, community planning assistance is allocated \$50,000, and about \$100,000 is allocated towards housing rehabilitation through Six County AOG Housing and Community Action Department. This leaves \$550,000 on average for other projects. There are no plans to leverage Community Development Block Grant funds with private, other state, or local funds at the Six County AOG level, but all applicants are encouraged to leverage Community Development Block Grant funds. There are no matching funds requirements for Community Development Block Grant funding recipients through Six County AOG. Applicants are encouraged, through an additional funding criteria, to leverage their projects with outside or local funds.

Annual Allocation	\$750,000
Program Income	\$0
Prior Years Resources	\$0
Total	\$750,000

Goals & Objectives

Homeowner housing rehabilitation is offered through the Six County AOG Housing and Community Assistance Department. Funds through a Community Development Block Grant set-aside are leveraged with other programs that have similar objectives and are used for housing rehabilitation for those earning a low-moderate income. There is a spending maximum of \$10,000 on each home. Last year 131 households were assisted using this method and it is planned to assist, at minimum, approximately 100 households next year. Disabled individuals are a priority for the program.

Goal Outcome Indicator	Quantity	Unit of Measurement
Public Facility or Infrastructure Activity other than low/moderate income housing benefit	3000	Persons Assisted
Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for low/moderate income housing benefit		Households Assisted
Public service activities other than low/moderate income housing benefit		Persons Assisted
Public service activities for low/moderate income housing benefit		Households Assisted
Facade treatment/Business building rehabilitation		Business
Rental units constructed		Household Housing Unit
Rental units rehabilitated		Household Housing Unit
Homeowner housing added		Household Housing Unit
Homeowner housing rehabilitated	15	Household Housing Unit
Direct financial assistance to homebuyers		Households Assisted
Homelessness prevention		Persons Assisted
Businesses assisted		Businesses Assisted
Other		Other

One year goals for the number of households supported through:	
Rental assistance	
The production of new units	
Rehab of existing units	15
Acquisition of existing units	
Total	15

After all set-aside funds have been allocated out, the remaining funds are made available to communities via a competitive application process to be used on eligible activities. Six County AOG can fund around two to three projects per year that will primarily benefit low to moderate income individuals.

Allocation priorities

Six County AOG has developed a ranking system that aims to prioritize the distribution of Community Development Block Grant funds. This ranking system is updated annually by the RRC, based off the recommendations of Six County AOG staff and the needs determined through this plan. Appendix III lists the region’s 2020 Community Development Block Grant Rating and Ranking Criteria.

As noted in the 2019 Annual Action Plan, infrastructure projects, especially those to do with water or street and sidewalk improvements, are top priorities for Community Development Block Grant allocations. Promoting projects with improvements following the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is also considered a regional priority. Communities with higher rates of citizens who make a low-moderate Income (according to HUD income limits) also receive ranking status as Community Development Block Grant funding candidates, as do those projects with wider geographical impact. Applicants that have more than one funding source for a project are also more likely to receive Community Development Block Grant funding allocations.

Table 3: Community Development Block Grant funding break-down by jurisdiction

Not funded within past 5-years	Never Funded
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annabella • Centerfield • Elsinore • Eureka • Fairview • Hanksville • Joseph • Kanosh • Koosharem • Manti • Monroe • Piute County • Scipio • Wales 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aurora • Bicknell • Central Valley • Fillmore • Glenwood • Holden • Junction • Kingston • Leamington • Lyman • Lynndyl • Mayfield • Meadow • Moroni • Oak City • Rocky Ridge • Salina • Sevier County • Sigurd • Sterling • Torrey

Allocation priorities are meant to encourage new applicants to apply in order to assist all jurisdictions in the region as much as possible. Those that have not been funded in the past four years are ranked as a higher priority for Community Development Block Grant funds. Table 1 lists these communities.

The jurisdictions have designated the projects they plan to apply for Community Development Block Grant funding in the next few years. This list is part of the Regional Capital Improvements List and is forthcoming.

The Six County AOG Community and Economic Development department receives a set-aside for administration of the Community Development Block Grant program in the Six County AOG region. These funds are prioritized because of administrative requirements necessary to obtain Community Development Block Grant funding. These include but are not limited to:

- 1) Developing and publishing the Six County Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plan Updates
- 2) 2) Providing technical assistance to counties, communities, and other project applicants in qualifying for Community Development Block Grant funding through application preparation, submission, and other support.

The Housing Rehabilitation Program will remain a priority, receiving a \$100,000 set-aside from the annual Community Development Block Grant allocation. If an individual or family earns a low income and their home has an issue that poses a health or safety threat, the program will provide a repair costing under \$10,000. Preference is given to individuals with a disability. This project is being prioritized because of its provision for providing safe and healthy living environments for the region’s citizens who earn a low-income.

Another priority for funding began in PY 2020 was to have a \$50,000 planning assistance set-aside from the Community Development Block Grant annual allocation. This money will be used to help communities that are predominantly low to moderate income to obtain professional planning and community development services. These planning services will be provided by Six County AOG staff upon request by eligible communities. This planning will mainly focus on preparing long term local comprehensive plans and capital asset improvement plans, in order to help maximize limited funding resources for the area.

As mentioned previously, the Six County Regional Review Committee reviews applicants and approves projects for funding. The applicant projects are judged by a set criteria and assigned points depending on how they measure. Table 2 demonstrates which criteria offer the highest points if met. Please see Appendix VII for all the rating and ranking criteria and points available.

Table 4: Importance of Funding Criteria

Criteria	Importance
Capacity to carry out the grant	Up to 5 points
LMI Housing Stock	Up to 6 points
Affordable Housing Plan	Up to 2 points
Extent of Poverty	Up to 5 points
Leveraged Funds	Up to 5 points
Project Maturity	Up to 4 points
Overall Geographical Impact	Up to 5 points
Applicant has not had any projects in previous years	Up to 4 points
Project Priority	Up to 6 points
Proactive Planning	Up to 4 points
ADA Components	Up to 2 points

For a full list of policies and procedure that outline specific criteria and method of distribution for selecting applications please see Appendix VI.

Potential applicants may access the application manuals or other materials describing the application criteria by visiting the Six County Association of Governments offices located at 250 North Main, Richfield, Utah, Suite B-12. They may also visit the Utah Community Development Block Grant website at: <http://www.jobs.utah.gov/housing/cdbg/applications.html>. For general information about the Community Development Block Grant Program and application criteria applicants may contact Community Development Block Grant Manager, Zach Leavitt.

A formal email outlining the Community Development Block Grant process is sent to each county commission and mayor 2-4 weeks before the How-to-Apply Workshop. Regional service provider agencies are also contacted. The notification explains Community Development Block Grant eligibility criteria and encourages participation in the How-to-Apply Workshops.

The process that an applicant must go through to be successful in receiving Community Development Block Grant funding is explained in detail at the mandatory How-to-Apply Workshop. This is a mandated training with two sessions offered at different times. If there are scheduling conflicts potential applicants may attend this workshop in another region. In addition, Six County AOG staff is available to discuss in detail the Community Development Block Grant program, criteria, and application requirements.

Applicants must select a qualified project (explained in the workshop), make sure project benefits 51 percent low and moderate income (requiring a survey in some cases), complete the application, have a public hearing, and submit the application utilizing the state's online application Web-Grants. The project is then rated and ranked by the Regional Review Committee. Projects are prioritized for funding and awarded based on available resources. Both successful and unsuccessful applicants are notified as to the results. Successful applicants then work with a State Community Development Block Grant representative through project logistics and funding.

At a minimum \$50,000 is allocated for administration of the Six County AOG Community Development Block Grant program. This amount must also not be more than 15 percent of the total allocation. This amount is subtracted from the total amount of funding given to the region. Six County HCAP also receives \$100,000 of the funding for housing rehab projects.

The remaining amount is allocated on a competitive basis. There is a state program minimum funding requirement of \$30,000 per project with the maximum amount being limited to \$500,000. Six County AOG adds additional restrictions by allowing for no more than 50 percent of the net allocation or \$250,000, whichever is less, to be awarded to any one applicant in order to encourage multiple projects and local match. This policy may be eliminated by a vote of the Regional Review Committee. More detail about the allocation process may be found in the Six County AOG Community Development Block Grant Policies and Procedures in Appendix VI.

Many of the communities do not have full time staff or have only volunteer staff. The expected outcome of these methods is to be simple and streamlined for individuals who are not experts with the federal grant system. Six County AOG offers as much administration assistance as needed by any community.

Public Housing

There are no Department of Housing and Urban Development recognized housing authorities in the Six County Region.

Barriers to Affordable Housing

In the 2015 Consolidated Plan there was noted several barriers to affordable housing as described from interviews with various regional housing agencies. These include:

- Lack of smaller lot sizes to accommodate those who can only afford to pay so much for homes.
- Lack of private market interest in creating low-income housing.
- Lack of significant public resources including section 8 housing and funding opportunities for local governments.
- Lack of awareness and education for planning commissions, city councils, and town boards regarding regulatory barriers to affordable housing.

In the experience of Six County AOG staff, several of these barriers still exist, although significant strides have been made in recent years to overcome these barriers by using the following methods.

- Six County AOG does not set affordable housing criteria as a high priority in the region, but there is a \$100,000 set-aside specifically for home rehabilitation.
- Six County AOG is working with the elected officials and local planning commissions to educate them about the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment.
- Six County AOG is also working with the State Community Development office to provide training to the local planning commissions. This training will explain zoning and policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing.
- Six County AOG works with all communities to encourage and facilitate the adoption of a comprehensive low to moderate income housing plan.

Other

Home Management

SCAOG does not fund Public Housing Agencies and does not take any actions to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership.

There are several agencies in the region that provide this assistance without direct help from the SCAOG. They are also noted in the 2015 Consolidated Plan.

The Main Street Committee of Mount Pleasant has a sub-committee dedicated to examining housing needs. Along with operating public housing in the town, they also find ways to help fund individuals to update their dilapidated trailers to a manufactured home standard. This is not specifically reaching out to the people in their public housing units, but it is helpful to other low-moderate income groups.

Consumer Education classes explaining finance, mortgages, and ownership of a home are provided to any individual who is a member of a Native Tribe by the Paiute Housing Authority.

Lead Based Paint

SCAOG Housing technicians are trained and certified to identify and eliminate the hazards of lead based paint. SCAOG does not provide a fee for service in this area but does test each home serviced through weatherization and home rehab.

Staff of the SCAOG will test client homes for lead that was constructed prior to 1978. If lead-based paint is found in a home or on a surface that will be disturbed, then lead safe work practices must be used by anyone certified to do the work. A pamphlet titled "Protect Your Family From Lead in Your Home" is handed out to any pre- 1978 home no matter if lead is found or not. The certified staff from the SCAOG does not make these services available to the public due to time constraints in doing complete inspections.

Until more resources are made available along with addressing liability issues of those providing the service, the opportunity for the Region to actively participate is limited. The current practice of testing SCAOG housing clients will be continued.

Coordination

SCAOG Community and Economic Development, Housing and Community Action Programs, and Aging Services Departments will continue to work with the regional Service Provider's Council by attending monthly meetings. The SCAOG HCAP department will work with public and private entities to coordinate critical needs of citizens within the Six County area. They will also coordinate and outreach to the public and provide affordable housing opportunities to qualified individuals. The SCAOG Community and Economic Development department will discuss housing needs with communities during their annual visit and assessment.

Appendix I Consultation Form

1. AOG: _____ Employee: _____

2. Name of Agency Consulted: _____ Date of Consultation: _____

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted?

6. What are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation of areas for improved coordination?

Appendix II Citizen Participation Survey

This form is for citizen participation in the 2020 Annual Action Plan for the Six County Region covering Juab, Millard, Piute, Sanpete, Sevier and Wayne Counties in Utah. All answers are anonymous, but will be mentioned in the final report. For questions please contact Zach Leavitt, SCAOG Regional Planner: (435) 893-0737 or zleavitt@sixcounty.com, 250 N Main St. P.O. Box 820, Richfield, UT 84701. We want your input to be able to identify, prioritize and quantify the cost of infrastructure or facility needs in each jurisdiction. The purpose of the annual action plan is to identify possible HUD funded projects and activities that should take place in the immediate or near future.

Which county and city/town do you reside? _____

Please mark a check next to the **three** most important needs for your community based on your opinion.

- Low-moderate income housing availability
- Water infrastructure improvements
- Sewer/storm infrastructure improvements
- Public health/safety projects (EMS facility, ambulance, health clinic improvements)
- Other public facilities
- Street/sidewalk improvements
- Recreation facility improvements or additions (parks)
- Planning efforts (general plan etc.)
- Accessibility for persons with a disability in public areas
- Other: _____

Is there any specific infrastructure, facility or other needs for your community? If so, please explain:

Do you have any other comments?

Appendix III CDBG Rating and Ranking Criteria for SCAOG Region

Six County Association of Governments 2020 CDBG Rating and Ranking Criteria and Project Score Sheet

Applicant:		Requested CDBG Amount:					Total Score:		0	
CDBG Rating and Ranking Criteria		Data Range/Score (Mark only one for each criteria)								SCORE
1	Capacity To Carry Out The Grant: Rated by state staff. (See Note #1 for scoring)	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 points				
2	Percent Of Non-CDBG Funds Invested In Total Project Cost.									
2a	Jurisdictions with a population less than 500	>10%	7.1-10%	4.1-7%	1-4%	<1%				
2 b	Jurisdictions with a population of 501-1,000	>20%	15.1-20%	10.1-15%	5.1-10%	1-5%				
2 c	Jurisdictions with a population of 1,001-5,000	>30%	25.1-30%	20.1-25%	15.1-20%	1-15%				
2 d	Jurisdictions with a population greater than 5,000	>40%	35.1-40%	30.1-35%	25.1-30%	1-25%				
3	Non-CDBG Funds Secured: Non-CDBG funds have been secured, partially secured, or applied for.	Secured 3 points	Partial 2 points	Applied 1 point						
4	CDBG Funds Requested Per Capita: CDBG funds requested divided by # of beneficiaries.	\$1-100 5 points	\$101-200 4 points	\$201-400 3 points	\$401-800 2 points	\$801 or > 1 point				
5	Project's Geographical Impact: Projects will be rated on their relative impact in the community both in terms of numbers and relative need.	County 5 points	Portion of County 4 points	Community 3 points	Portion of Community 1 point					
6	LMI Population: Percent of the projects beneficiaries considered 80 percent or less LMI. (based on LMI survey)	>80% 5 points	76-79% 4 points	61-75% 3 points	56-60% 2 points	51-55% 1 points				
7	Extent Of Poverty: The percentage of Low Income (LI: 50% AMI) and Very Low Income (VLI: 30% AMI) persons directly benefiting from the project.	>20% 5 points	15.1-20% 4 points	10.1-15% 3 points	5.1-10% 2 points	1-5% 1 point				
8	Project Maturity: Project demonstrates capacity to be implemented and/or completed in a timely manner. (See Note #8 for scoring)	3 points	2 points	1 point						
9	Applicant Funded In Previous Years:	2016 or prior 4 points	2017 3 points	2018 2 points	2019 1 point					

	Project Priority: Determined by the CDBG Administrator with consultation of the AOG Executive Board members. This Board comprises of a mayor and commissioner from each county.	Water Infrastructure Improvements 6 points	Street/Sidewalk Improvements 5 points	Recreation Facility Improvements 4 points	Sewer/Storm Infrastructure 3 points	Public Facilities, Public Health/Safety 2 points	LMI Housing 1 point
10							
11	Remove Architectural Barriers (ADA): Does this project work to remove architectural barriers to persons with disabilities and/or is the project ADA compliant?	Yes 2 points	No 0 points				
12	Health And Safety: Does the project address serious health and safety threats.	Yes 3 points	No 0 points				
13	LMI Housing Stock: Infrastructure for the units, rehabilitation of units, new units and/or accessibility of units for LMI residents.	>20 units 6 points	15 - 20 units 5 points	10 - 14 units 4 points	5 - 9 units 3 points	1 - 4 units 2 points	
14	Affordable Housing Plan Implementation: City/County has adopted an Affordable Housing Plan and this project addresses some element of that plan.	Yes 2 points	No 0 points				
15	Pro-active Planning: Communities who pro-actively plan for growth and needs in their communities. (See Note #15 for scoring)	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point		
16	Civil Rights Compliance: Applicant is in compliance with federal laws and regulations related to civil rights. (See Note #16 for scoring)	2 points	1 point	0 Points			

Notes:

- #1 - All applicants will receive 5 points for this category unless state CDBG staff has evidence or compelling reason to believe the applicant lacks capacity. In this event, the state staff will give the applicant a score of less than 5.
- #8 - One point will be awarded if an architect/engineer is already selected and is actively involved in the application process, or an RFP process has been followed for equipment purchases. One point will be awarded if architectural/engineering designs/plans are completed for the project or a vendor has been selected for an equipment purchase. One point will be awarded if the application is 100% complete at the time of application.
- #15 - One point will be awarded if the applicants general plan has been updated in the previous 5 years. (ex. For the 2020 cycle: updated during or after 2015) One point will be awarded if the applicant maintains a detailed Capital Improvements List for future projects. One point will be awarded if the applicant keeps a detailed Asset Inventory list. One point will be awarded if the applicant can document an active planning and zoning commission.
- #16 - One point will be awarded if the applicant has completed the "ADA Checklist for Readily Achievable Barrier Removal" form. One point will be awarded if the applicant has adopted all of the following policies: Grievance Procedure under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 and ADA Effective Communication Policy, Language Access Plan, and Section 504 and ADA Reasonable Accommodation Policy. (Forms available from SCAOG)

Appendix IV PY 2020 CDBG Application Scoring & Funded Projects

Name	Project	Amount
SCAOG Admin and Planning	CDBG Admin and Planning	\$50,000
SCAOG Regional Planning	CDBG Regional Planning	\$50,000
SCAOG Single Family Rehab	CDBG Single Family Rehab	\$106,231
Rocky Ridge	Sidewalk Install	\$150,000
Delta	Fire Truck Purchase	\$117,524
Gunnison	Ballfield Rehab	\$250,000
Monroe	Senior Center Rehab	\$76,250
Lyman	Playground equipment Purchase	\$61,510

Appendix V Citizen Consultation Forms



Zach Leavitt <zleavitt@sixcounty.com>

CDBG Project Input

[REDACTED]

Thu, Feb 20, 2020 at 1:04 PM

To: zleavitt@sixcounty.com

My input for CDBG funds would be to put in sidewalks in the residential areas without them.

[REDACTED]



Zach Leavitt <zleavitt@sixcounty.com>

Community Development Block Grant suggestion

[REDACTED]
To: zleavitt@sixcounty.com

Fri, Feb 21, 2020 at 12:08 AM

A community garden and gardening classes.



Zach Leavitt <zleavitt@sixcounty.com>

CDBG Project suggestions

[REDACTED]

Fri, Feb 28, 2020 at 10:10 AM

To: zleavitt@sixcounty.com

Hello,

I would LOVE to see more dog parks and places dogs can play. Maybe with some obstacle courses, and enough room for them to run. Thank you.

[REDACTED]

Elsinore, UT



Zach Leavitt <zleavitt@sixcounty.com>

CBDG Suggestion

Wed, Feb 19, 2020 at 7:26 PM

To: "[REDACTED]" <zleavitt@sixcounty.com>

I would love to see a walking/bicycle path from the Red Hill in Salina on 300 East, south to the Salina City Park. Lots of folks use that as a way to get their exercise, but when my husband and I made the trek last week, there were So many vehicles! You cannot walk off the side of the asphalt, as there is a sharp dropoff, and so you are forced to compete with vehicles traveling at 45 mph. I did not feel safe.

A pedestrian cannot walk facing traffic (as is recommended) in certain segments when the elevation changes, because oncoming traffic would not see them in time. And so the best you can do when walking is to constantly "change lanes", weaving back and forth across both sides of the road as you near the crest of a hill, depending on the traffic.

In the summer months there are activities for youth at the baseball park. If a child rides his bike he is in danger, especially as the sun sets and other participants are heading home in their vehicles on that windy, narrow, 2-lane road.

There looks to be plenty of room to accomplish this. It is only a mile long and would benefit so many!

Sincerely, [REDACTED]

1. Aurora, Sevier County.
2. • Other public facilities (pool!) fix Salina's pool!
• ADA Access
• Street & Sidewalk
3. I can never see the lines on the road when it's stormy or ~~bad~~ bad weather, let's fix that!
4. Also the speed limit on the old aurora highway is too low. 55 mph? Let's bump that up a bit.

1. Salina / Sevier County

2. Planning efforts
ADA Access
~~Public facilities~~ Public facilities

3. Salina Park
Salina Pool
City library

4. —

1 I live in Salina, Sevier County

2 Public health & Safety, Housing, Water

3 we are in dire need of a swimming pool
in Salina

4 Maybe some funding for education

1. Sevier County, Salina
2. Public Health/safety
Low/Moderate Housing
street/sidewalk
3. Salina needs the new pool/recreation area
4. N/A

1. Salina

2. Housing

- Street and Sidewalk

- Public health and safety

3. Salina needs lights coming off the freeway

4. NO

Aurora, Utah - Sevier County 84620

1. low to moderate housing

2. water

3. street + sidewalk

We specifically need affordable housing + more opportunities to rent. Reflective lines on roads. Aurora is in need of TLC.

1. Sevier county, Salina

2. low income housing ability, other public facilities, recreation Facility.

3. Swimming pool in Salina. Sam cafe in Salina

4. have paint on the road reflective, minorities need help, public transportation

1. Salina; Sevier County

2. Recreation facilities
 Planning efforts
 other public facilities

3. reflective paint on roads

4. none

#1

Salina - Sevier County

#2

- planning efforts
- ADA access
- recreation facility

#3

reflective road paint

#4

N/A

#1 Aurora - Sevier County

#2 • Other Public Facilities

• Planning Efforts

• ADA Access

#3 reflective road paint on the roads

#4 N/A

Six County Survey

1. Aurora (Sevier County)
2. Street & Sidewalk, Planning efforts, Public health & safety
3. reflective road paint

Survey

1. Aurora, Utah (Sevier County)
2. Public Health & Safety, ADA Access, Street & Sidewalks
3. Street and Sidewalks. Improve the lines of the roads with reflective road paint
4. Just improve the quality of the roads such as fixing pot holes and painting

1. Aurora / Sevier County Survey

2. • Street & Sidewalks
• Planning efforts
• Other Public Facilities

3. To make lines on the road reflective because in storms you can not see the lines.

Sevier County + Aurora, UT

Recreation Facility

Water Infrastructure

Sewer + Storm Infrastructure

In North Sevier a YMCA would be helpful to keep youth out of trouble (ie: drug + alcohol abuse, vandalism, etc.)

Survey

1. Sevier county + Salina, UT
2. public health and safety projects, cheaper housing, water
3. Some sidewalks need to be redone and made safer, Richfield.

Survey

- 1 Salina, Sevier County
- 2 • public Health and Safety provider
 - recreational facility
 - ADA Access
- 3 Public Health Care

Survey

1 Salina, Utah Sevier County

2 Housing, Public Health & Safety, Other public Facilities

3 - The roads in Salina around houses are bad and have dips in them. They need to be fixed for safety.

- We could also use money for a new swimming pool & other facilities so kids have a place to go.

- There is a road by the car wash in Salina & it needs fixed. There are pot holes & it's a dangerous turn. You can't see around it & everyone has close calls with crashes.

- We could use more sidewalks for kids to have a safe place to walk.

4 Salina could use more money for public health & safety. It could also use it for recreation facilities.

Salina, Utah (Sevier County)

- Water
- Public Health & Safety
- Housing

Irrigation water for our gardens/grass/crops in Salina. Every summer we always encounter issues with the lack of water. While we technically can't control the weather, maybe there could be improvements to be made.

Redmond City, Sevier County

Housing, Other public facilities, Planning efforts

More housing

Modernize and refurnish
Salina Library.

School funding (out dated)

Public Gym upgrade at Salina Rec.

Pave more residential streets
Add lanes to highways.

Sevier County, Aurora UT

- water
- street & side walk
- Planning efforts

Sevier County - Redmond

Public Health & safety

Recreation Facility

Public Facilities

Making a path way From
Redmond to Salina

Sevier County, Redmond

Public Health and Safety

Housing

Street and sidewalks

A bike path from Redmond to Salina



Sevier County Aurora

- Storm & Sewer
 - Streets & Sidewalk
 - Recreation Facility
- Basketball Court at Aurora City Park with lighting
Has old Hoops and old concrete and no lighting

Sevier County, Redmond, VT

- * Recreation Facility
- * ADA access
- * Sewer & Storm

- make the road lines more reflective

• BIKE PATHWAYS!!

from redmond to Salina to
Aurora there is NO room
to ride a bike on those roads.

1 Sevier County, Salina

2. - Planning Efforts -
- Recreation Facility -
- Housing -

3. Increase the speed limit down till dusk, Decrease the speed limit dusk till dawn

1. Sevier County Salina

2. public Health and safety
recreation facility
other public facilities

3. new throwing arena for the North Sevier Track team
more street lights at the Salina Park
new baseball/softball fields at the Salina park
better soccer field for the North Sevier soccer teams

1. Sevier County, Salina
2. Housing
Public Health & Safety Project
Recreation Facility
3. • New bike/walking paths in the areas
of Redmond, Salina, & Aurora.
• Reflective lines Painted on Public roads
• Inloor Pool for Salina

Sevier County, Salina

Recreation Facility Improvements

Other Public Facilities

Planning Efforts

Basketball Courts

Bike Path

SEVIER COUNTY, SALINA

- HOUSING
- STREET & SIDEWALK
- RECREATION FACILITY
- SWIMMING POOL
- GYM
- TENNIS COURT ← !
- BIKE PATH

(MORE THINGS TO DO BESIDES DRIVE AROUND)

1. Salina , Sevier County
2. Recreation facility
Water
Street/Sidewalks
3. add reflective paint to roads.
4. N/A

Appendix VI Agency consultation Form

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leebritt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Six County Aging Date of Consultation: 1-9-2020
and Volunteer Services department

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)
and Area Agency on Aging

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other:
<i>Public Services</i> | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? *in person interview*

- Affordable housing for seniors
- Mental Health support and resources
- Addictions - Improper use of medication
- Senior Nutrition
- Public transportation resources

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leavitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Six County Veterans Services & Center Date of Consultation: 1-13-20

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>Public Services</u> | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? In person interview

- ~~Transportation~~ transportation options
 - Medical especially
- Funding to expand services
 - Disaster Preparedness
 - Food bank programs
 - VITA

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Loewitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Six County Weatherization Date of Consultation: 1-13-20

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? In person Interview

- Sustain the amount of housing we have (keep existing stock viable)
- Education to sustain homeowners (home building skills)

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leavitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Six County Date of Consultation: 1-9-2020
Housing & Community Action Agency

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <u>26%</u> |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? In person Interview

- Housing More available housing and more affordable housing (Decent)
- Economic development to replace mines (diversify)
- Economic growth to support livable wages
- Transportation public resources

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leavitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Six County ESG Administrator Date of Consultation: 1-4-2020

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

Public Services

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? Interview in person

- Housing - need more decent affordable housing
- Healthcare - Access to
- Transportation - Public services

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Lewitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Mt Pleasant Housing Authority (RDA) Date of Consultation: 1-15-20

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? In person interview

- 2 projects need to be addressed
- Area needs need significant upgrades
 - Partner w/ as many sources of funding as possible
 - Need additional housing (affordable housing) for Mt Pleasant

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leeewitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Ephraim City Housing Authority Date of Consultation: 1-23-20

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publicly funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? In person interviews
Cost of building is going up, Services ^{funding} staying consistent
Need to create more housing in the area

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leavitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: New Horizons Date of Consultation: 1-13-20
Crisis Center

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publicly funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Foundation | Other: |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted?

In person interview

- Affordable Housing
- Sustainable employment
- Homeless Services
 - Outreach
 - Outreach

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leavitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: DCFS - Richfield Date of Consultation: 1-13-20

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? In person Interview

- Affordable housing that Felones can get into
- Food security for outlying areas
- Family Support Services
- Drug treatment and support beyond available resources
- Skills development for economic development and Vocational Rehab

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Lewitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Family Support Center Date of Consultation: 1-6-2020
Of Southwestern UT- Richfield

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? Interview

- New equipment
- Rebuilt facilities/Expanded
- Expanded Services
 - Mental Health
 - Additional ages to serve for children

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leawitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Central Utah Public Health Department Date of Consultation: 1-6-2020

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>Public Health Needs Services</u> | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? Asked top three

- Expanding programs w/ sustainable funding In person interview
- Public transportation options
- Mental Health Services

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leawitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: USU Extension Date of Consultation: 1-8-2020
- Mental Health

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>University</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>Public Services</u> | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? In person interview

- Mental health treatment and support
- Family stability by introducing support and resources
- Early childhood development and support including Education
↑
includes parenting education

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zeeh Lewis '77

2. Name of Agency Consulted: UVU Extension Date of Consultation: 1-6-2020
~~Basin~~ Central Utah Area

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publicly funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>University</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

Rural Resource and Community development

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? Interview in person

- Employment w/ lack of labor to accommodate
- Immigration (out of state and out of Country into)
- Growth on the long term scale (need to keep up and sustainable)
- Water issues (distribution)
- demographic shifts (exporting children)

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leavitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Snow College Date of Consultation: 1-9-2020
SRDC

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? Interview in person
- Housing - Affordable and plentiful housing (w/ Elderly housing)
 - Water and Natural Resource development (variety being the
 - Road Infrastructure & Rail Infrastructure key word)
 - Tourism development (destination development) through incentives?

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leavitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: DWS - Richfield Date of Consultation: 1-14-20

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publicly funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted? In person interview

Affordable housing

- housing assistance for men
- More Affordable housing (lunch)

Transportation

- Public resources
- A focus on outlining areas

Skills development for economic development

- Computer skills

Appendix II Consultation Forms

(Show filled out Consultation Tracking Forms for each consultation)

1. AOG: Six County Employee: Zach Leavitt

2. Name of Agency Consulted: Service Providers Council Date of Consultation: 1-7-2020

3. Agency/Group/Organization Type (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Education |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PHA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Elderly Persons | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Employment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with Disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Homeless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services-Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Services-Fair Housing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Health Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Welfare Agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publically funded institution/System of Care* | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Federal | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-State |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-County | <input type="checkbox"/> Other government-Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Grantee Department |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Organization | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planning organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Business leaders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Private Sector | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institution | <input type="checkbox"/> Banking/Financing | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Major Employer | <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation | |

*Organizations which may discharge persons into homelessness, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions.

4. What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Needs Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Needs | <input type="checkbox"/> Market Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Chronically homeless | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless Needs-Families with Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Veterans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Needs-Unaccompanied Youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> Economic Development | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anti-Poverty-Strategy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-based Paint Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>Public Services beyond housing</u> | |

5. Briefly describe how the Agency/Group/Organization was consulted?

- Expanding Programs
- Addressing Intergenerational poverty
- Transportation (Public transportation needs)
- Addressing Poverty

Appendix VII CDBG Application Policies and Procedures

SIX COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

CDBG Application Policies

2020 Program Year

Method of Distribution

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) – To ensure that CDBG projects administered through the Six County Region meet the national objectives. Six County Association of Governments (SCAOG) has implemented the following 1) An application will be rated and ranked against all applications within the Region. 2) Successful applications will be funded in order of priority as determined by the rating and ranking process until the regional CDBG funding allocation is exhausted.

CDBG POLICIES – 2019 Program Year

The following policies have been established to govern the CDBG award process. All eligible project applications will be accepted for rating and ranking.

1. The Six County Association of Governments approved \$50,000 of the total allocation for administration of the SCAOG CDBG program, to be subtracted from the SCAOG total. The remaining amount is allocated on a competitive basis. To encourage multiple projects and local match, no project will receive more than 50% of the net allocation or \$250,000, whichever is less. Depending on funding, the SCAOG Regional Review Committee (RRC) reserves the right to eliminate the 50% rule and \$250,000 maximum by a vote of the board.
2. In compliance with the policies of the State of Utah CDBG program, and to be eligible for funding consideration, all grantees or sub-grantees must have drawn down 50% of any prior year's CDBG funding prior to the RRC rating and ranking session.
3. Applicants must provide written documentation of the availability and status of all other proposed funding at the time the application is submitted, including all sources of funding which are considered local contributions toward the project and its administration. A project is not mature if funding cannot be committed by the time of the application.
4. State policy has established the minimum amount of funding of \$30,000 per project and the maximum amount is limited only by the annual allocation amount, and the Six County CDBG policies outlined in paragraph 1 (one).
5. Projects must align with and be consistent with the Region's Consolidated Plan. Sponsored projects on behalf of an eligible sub-recipient may not necessarily be listed in the jurisdiction's capital investment plan, but the sub-recipient's project must meet goals identified in the Region's Consolidated Plan.
6. Attendance at one of the annual How to Apply workshops is mandatory of all applicants and sub-grantees. The project manager and an elected official from the applicant's jurisdiction should be in attendance. Newly elected officials and project managers are especially

encouraged to attend since the administrative requirements and commitments of a CDBG project are considerable.

7. Housing projects are encouraged to use the SCAOG Housing Department's available resources and emergency projects may be considered by the RRC at any time. Projects applying for emergency funding must still meet a national objective and regional goals and policies. Projects may be considered as an emergency application if:
 - Funding through the normal application timeframe will create an unreasonable risk to health or property.
 - An appropriate third-party agency has documented a specific risk (or risks) that in their opinion need immediate remediation.
 - Cost overruns from a previously funded project may be funded only if the RRC deems it an appropriate emergency.
8. The amount of any emergency funds distributed during the year will be subtracted from the top of the regional allocation during the next funding cycle. Additional information on the emergency fund program is available in the Application Policies and Procedures manual developed annually by the state in Chapter II, Funding Processes.
9. Applications on behalf of sub-recipients (i.e., special service districts, non-profit organizations, etc.) are allowed. The applicant city or county must understand that even if they name the sub-recipient as project manager the city/county is still responsible for the project's viability and program compliance. A subcontractor's agreement between the applicant entity and the sub-recipient must accompany the application (after funds have been committed to the project).
10. Multi-year projects will be considered. Proposals must contain specific cost estimates and work elements by year so that annual allocations by the RRC can be determined at the outset. No projects over 2 years will be considered.
11. Project maturity will be considered in determining the awarding of funds for the funding cycle, i.e., project can be completed within eighteen months, leveraged funds are in place, detailed scope of work is developed, engineer's cost estimates in place, etc.
12. The application must be submitted by 5:00 PM, December 15, 2020. Any applications received after this date and time will not be considered for funding.
13. Applicants with lower populations will receive additional points in the non CDBG funds category.
14. In the event of a tie the following policies will be followed:
 - A) The project is in a Distressed Community
 - B) The project that has the highest percentage of LMI beneficiaries
 - C) The project with the most non CDBG funds leveraged
 - D) The Project with the most points in the Geographical Impact category
15. All projects will be fully funded in the order of their rating and ranking prioritization. No more than one project will be funded per jurisdiction or applicant in a program year. If a balance remains insufficient for the next project in priority to complete a project in the current year, the

funds will continue to be applied to the next project in priority if the funds are sufficient to fund that project. Once no additional projects can be funded, the balance will be added to the region's single-family housing rehab program allocation for that funding cycle.

16. The SCAOG RRC is filled by the members of the SCAOG Executive Board. This 12-member committee comprises a commissioner and a mayor from each county of the region. Members of the committee are appointed by their county and fulfill terms until the end of their elected period or reappointment if they still hold office. Due to election cycles the average term of a board member is 4 years. The chair of the RRC is the chair of the SCAOG Executive Board.

The Six County Regional Review Committee has approved the following set-aside of funding:

Housing- \$100,000 if the allocation is over \$400,000. If the allocation is under \$400,000 then 25% of the allocation for the SCAOG Housing department to help LMI individuals access available housing resources.

Planning - \$50,000 for planning activities to be conducted by SCAOG staff in HUD pre-approved or survey approved Low to Moderate Income communities.

Appendix IIX Online Post for Citizen Outreach

Public participation welcome for Regional Annual Action Plan

Every year the Six County Association of Governments produces an Annual Action Plan as required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The Plan details the community development, housing needs and priorities of Juab, Millard, Piute, Sanpete, Sevier, and Wayne Counties. There is special emphasis on serving the needs of the low and moderate income and special needs populations.

The purpose of the plan is to identify implementation of HUD funded projects and activities that should take place in the immediate or near future. The planning process is undertaken with the assistance of towns, cities, counties and the public to identify, prioritize and quantify the cost of capital improvement needs in each jurisdiction. In January communities are interviewed individually about their needs.

Six County also works with the regional public housing agencies and other service providers to identify gaps in affordable housing and for appropriate means to address those gaps. Public hearings are in conjunction with the regional governing body, or executive board, to solicit public involvement in the plan development process.

The following questions are considered in the development of the Annual Action Plan:

1. What are the key priorities for housing and community development for each jurisdiction in the region, and the region as a whole?
2. What opportunities exist for integration and coordination of federal, state and/or local programs?
3. How can SCAOG and the Utah Small Cities Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program, which is administered through the Utah Division of Housing and Community Development (HCD) help foster better coordination?
4. What data or other information would help us in developing and improving the housing and community development decision making process as it relates to rating and ranking of projects proposed for HUD funding?

Public participation in the planning process is encouraged for the upcoming 2020-2021 plan. The final plan will be published in March.

A short survey form is available to anyone interested in providing input on housing and community development needs in their community at www.sixcounty.com. You may also provide input or ask for a paper survey with the SCAOG Regional Planner, Zach Leavitt, at (435) 893-0737, via e-mail: zleavitt@sixcounty.com, or mail: 250 North Main St, P.O. Box 820, Richfield, UT 84701